arXiv:1204.1545v1 [math.AG] 6 Apr 2012

Manifolds over Cayley-Dickson algebras and their immersions.

Ludkovsky S.V.

15 March 2012

Abstract

Holomorphic manifolds over Cayley-Dickson algebras are defined and their embeddings and immersions are studied.

1 Introduction.

Real and complex manifolds are widely used in different branches of mathematics [10, 13, 20]. On the other hand, Cayley-Dickson algebras $\mathcal{A}_r$, particularly, the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H} = \mathcal{A}_2$ and the octonion algebra $\mathbf{O} = \mathcal{A}_3$, have found many-sided applications not only in mathematics, but also in theoretical physics [2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 14, 21]. Functions of Cayley-Dickson variables were studied earlier [15, 16, 17, 19]. Their super-differentiability was defined in terms of representing them words and phrases as a differentiation which is real-linear, additive and satisfying Leibniz' rule on an algebra of phrases over $\mathcal{A}_r$. A super-differentiable function on a domain U in $\mathcal{A}_r^n$ or $l_2(\mathcal{A}_r)$ of $\mathcal{A}_r$-variables is also called holomorphic.

This article is devoted to investigations of $\mathcal{A}_r$-holomorphic manifolds. Their embeddings and immersions are studied. Results and notations of previous papers [15, 16, 17, 19] are used below.

Main results of this paper are obtained for the first time.

2 Manifolds over Cayley-Dickson algebras

1. Definitions and Notes. An **R** linear space X which is also left and right $\mathcal{A}_r$ module will be called an $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space. We present X as the direct sum

(DS) $X = X_0 i_0 \oplus ... \oplus X_m i_m \oplus ...$, where X_0,...,X_m, ... are pairwise isomorphic real linear spaces, where $i_0, ..., i_{2^r-1}$ are generators of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ such that $i_0 = 1$, $i_k^2 = -1$ and $i_k i_j = -i_j i_k$ for each $k \ge 1$ and $j \ge 1$ so that $k \ne j$, $2 \le r$.

Let X and Y be two **R** linear normed spaces which are also left and right $\mathcal{A}_r$ modules, where $1 \le r$, such that

(1) $0 \le \|ax\|_X \le |a| \|x\|_X$ and $\|xa\|_X \le |a| \|x\|_X$ and

(2) $\|ax_j\|_X = |a| \|x_j\|_X$ and

(3) $\|x+y\|_X \le \|x\|_X + \|y\|_X$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $x_j \in X_j$. Such spaces X and Y will be called $\mathcal{A}_r$ normed spaces.

Suppose that X and Y are two normed spaces over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_v$. A continuous **R** linear mapping $\theta : X \to Y$ is called an **R** linear homomorphism. If in addition $\theta(bx) = b\theta(x)$ and $\theta(xb) = \theta(x)b$ for each $b \in \mathcal{A}_v$ and $x \in X$, then θ is called a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_v$ (two sided) modules X and Y.

If a homomorphism is injective, then it is called an embedding (**R** linear or for $\mathcal{A}_v$ modules correspondingly).

If a homomorphism h is bijective and from X onto Y so that its inverse mapping h^{-1} is also continuous, then it is called an isomorphism (**R** linear or of $\mathcal{A}_v$ modules respectively).

2. Definitions. We say that a real vector space Z is supplied with a scalar product if a bi-**R**-linear bi-additive mapping $<,>$: $Z^2 \to \mathbf{R}$ is given satisfying the conditions:

(1) $< x, x > \ \ge 0$, $< x, x >= 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(2) $< x, y >=< y, x >$;

(3) $< ax+by, z >= a < x, z > +b < y, z >$ for each real numbers $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and vectors $x, y, z \in Z$.

Then an $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space X is supplied with an $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued scalar product, if a bi-$\mathbf{R}$-linear bi-$\mathcal{A}_r$-additive mapping $< *, * >: X^2 \to \mathcal{A}_r$ is given such that

(4) $\quad < f, g >= \sum_{j,k} < f_j, g_k > i_j^* i_k,$

where $f = f_0 i_0 + ... + f_m i_m + ...,\ \ f, g \in X,\ \ f_j, g_j \in X_j$, each X_j is a real linear space with a real valued scalar product, $(X_j, < *, * >)$ is real linear isomorphic with $(X_k, < *, * >)$ and $< f_j, g_k > \in \mathbf{R}$ for each j, k. The scalar product induces the norm:

(5) $\|f\| := \sqrt{< f, f >}.$

An $\mathcal{A}_r$ normed space or an $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space with $\mathcal{A}_r$ scalar product complete relative to its norm will be called an $\mathcal{A}_r$ Banach space or an $\mathcal{A}_r$ Hilbert space respectively.

A Hilbert space X over $\mathcal{A}_r$ is denoted by $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$, where λ is a set of the cardinality $card(\lambda) \ge \aleph_0$ which is the topological weight of X_0, i.e. $X_0 = l_2(\lambda, \mathbf{R})$.

A mapping $f : U \to l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$ can be written in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{j \in \lambda} f^j(z) e_j,$$

where $\{e_j : j \in \lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$, U is a domain in $l_2(\psi, \mathcal{A}_r)$, $f^j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for each $z \in U$ and every $j \in \lambda$. If f is Frechét differentiable over $\mathbf{R}$ and each function $f^j(z)$ is holomorphic by each Cayley-Dickson variable ${}_kz$ on U, then f is called holomorphic on U, where

$$z = \sum_{k \in \psi} {}_kz q_k,$$

while $\{q_k :\ k \in \psi\}$ denotes the standard orthonormal basis in $l_2(\psi, \mathcal{A}_r)$, ${}_kz \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

3. Definition. Let M be a set such that $M = \bigcup_j U_j$, M is a Hausdorff topological space, each U_j is open in M, $\phi_j : U_j \to \phi_j(U_j) \subset X$ are homeomorphisms, $\phi_j(U_j)$ is open in $\mathcal{A}_r$ for each j, if $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$, the transition mapping $\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$-holomorphic on its domain, where X is either $\mathcal{A}_r^m$ with $m \in \mathbf{N}$ or a Hilbert space $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$ over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$. Then M is called the $\mathcal{A}_r$-holomorphic manifold.

4. Proposition. *Let M be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold. Then there exists a tangent bundle TM which has the structure of an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold such that each fibre T_xM is the vector space over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$.*

Proof. The Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ has the real shadow, which is the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2^r}}$, since $\mathcal{A}_r$ is the algebra over $\mathbf{R}$. Therefore, a manifold M has also a real manifold structure. Each $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic mapping is infinite differentiable in accordance with Theorems 2.15 and 3.10 in [15, 16]. Then the tangent bundle TM exists, which is C^∞-manifold such that each fibre T_xM is a tangent space, where $x \in M$, T is the tangent functor. If $At(M) = \{(U_j, \phi_j) : j\}$, then $At(TM) = \{(TU_j, T\phi_j) : j\}$, $TU_j = U_j \times X$, where X is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space on which M is modeled, $T(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = (\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}, D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}))$ for each $U_j \cap U_k \ne \emptyset$. Each transition mapping $\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic on its domain, then its (strong) differential coincides with the super-differential $D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = D_z(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$, since $\tilde{\partial}(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1}) = 0$. Therefore, the super-differential $D(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$ is $\mathbf{R}$-linear and $\mathcal{A}_r$-additive, hence it is the automorphism of the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space X. But $D_z(\phi_j \circ \phi_k^{-1})$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic as well, consequently, TM is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold.

5. Definitions. A C^1-mapping $f : M \to N$ is called an immersion, if $rang(df|_x : T_xM \to T_{f(x)}N) = m_M$ for each $x \in M$, where $m_M := dim_{\mathbf{R}} M$. An immersion $f : M \to N$ is called an embedding, if f is bijective.

6. Theorem. *Let M be a compact $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold, $dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} M = m < \infty$, where $2 \le r$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic embedding $\tau : M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{2m+1}$ and an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic immersion $\theta : M \to \mathcal{A}_r^{2m}$ correspondingly. Each continuous mapping $f : M \to \mathcal{A}_r^{2m+1}$ or $f : M \to \mathcal{A}_r^{2m}$ can be approximated by τ or θ relative to the norm $\| * \|_{C^0}$. If M is a paracompact $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold with countable atlas on $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$, where $card(\lambda) \ge \aleph_0$, then there exists a holomorphic embedding $\tau : M \hookrightarrow l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$.*

Proof. Let at first M be compact. Since M is compact, then it is finite dimensional over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} M = m \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} M = 2^r m$ is its real dimension. Take an atlas $At'(M)$ refining the initial atlas $At(M)$ of M such that (U'_j, ϕ_j) are charts of M, where each U'_j is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic diffeomorphic to an interior of the unit ball $Int(B(\mathcal{A}_r^m, 0, 1))$, where

$B(\mathcal{A}_r^m, y, \rho) := \{z \in \mathcal{A}_r^m : |z - y| \le \rho\}$. In view of compactness of the manifold M a covering $\{U'_j : j\}$ has a finite subcovering, hence $At'(M)$ can be chosen finite. Denote for convenience the latter atlas as $At(M)$. Let (U_j, ϕ_j) be the chart of the atlas $At(M)$, where U_j is open in M, hence $M \setminus U_j$ is closed in M.

Consider the space $\mathcal{A}_r^m \times \mathbf{R}$ as the $\mathbf{R}$-linear space $\mathbf{R^{2^rm+1}}$. The unit sphere $S^{2^rm} := S(\mathbf{R}^{2^rm+1}, 0, 1) := \{z \in \mathbf{R}^{2^rm+1} : |z| = 1\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_r^m \times \mathbf{R}$ can be supplied with two charts (V_1, ϕ_1) and (V_2, ϕ_2) such that $V_1 := S^{2^rm} \setminus \{0, ..., 0, 1\}$ and $V_2 := S^{2^rm} \setminus \{0, ..., 0, -1\}$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are stereographic projections from poles $\{0, ..., 0, 1\}$ and $\{0, ..., 0, -1\}$ of V_1 and V_2 respectively onto $\mathcal{A}_r^m$. The conjugation is given by the formula:

$$z^* = -(2^r - 2)^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^r-1} (i_p z) i_p$$

in $\mathcal{A}_r^m$, which provides z^* in the z-representation. Therefore $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$ written in the z-representation is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic diffeomorphism in $\mathcal{A}_r^m \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. the super-differential $D_z(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1})$ exists, where $i_0, ..., i_{2^r-1}$ are the standard generators of $\mathcal{A}_r$. Thus the unit sphere S^{2^rm} can be supplied with the structure of the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold.

Therefore, there exists an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic mapping ψ_j. That is locally z-analytic of M into the unit sphere S^{2^rm} such that $\psi_j : U_j \to \psi_j(U_j)$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic diffeomorphism onto the subset $\psi_j(U_j)$ in S^{2^rm}. Using of such mappings ψ_j is sufficient, where ψ_j can be considered as components of a holomorphic diffeomorphism: $\psi : M \to (S^{2^rm})^n$ with n equal to the number of charts. There is an embedding of $\mathcal{A}_r^m \times \mathbf{R}$ into $\mathcal{A}_r^{m+1}$. Then the mapping $\psi(z) := (\psi_1(z), ..., \psi_n(z))$ is the embedding into $(S^{2^rm})^n$ and hence into $\mathbf{K}^{nm+1}$, since the rank is $rank[d_z\psi(z)] = 2^rm$ at each point $z \in M$. Indeed, the rank is $rank[d_z\psi_j(z)] = 2^rm$ for each $z \in U_j$ and the dimension is bounded from above $dim_{\mathcal{A}_r}\psi(U_j) \le dim_{\mathcal{A}_r}M = m$. Moreover, $\psi(z) \ne \psi(y)$ for each $z \ne y \in U_j$, since $\psi_j(z) \ne \psi_j(y)$. If $z \in U_j$ and $y \in M \setminus U_j$, then there exists a number $l \ne j$ so that $y \in U_l \setminus U_j$, $\psi_j(z) \ne \psi_j(y) = x_j$.

Let $M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^N$ be the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic embedding as above. There is also the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic embedding of M into $(S^{2^rm})^n$ as it is shown above, where $(S^{2^rm})^n$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold as the product of $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifolds.

Let $P\mathbf{R}^n$ denote the real projective space formed from the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, denote by $\phi : \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \to P\mathbf{R}^n$ the corresponding projective mapping. Geometrically $P\mathbf{R}^n$ is considered as S^n/τ, where $S^n := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \ \|y\| = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, while τ is the equivalence relation making identical two spherically symmetric points, i.e. points belonging to the same straight line containing zero and intersecting the unit sphere. Consider $\mathcal{A}_r^n$ as the algebra of all $n \times n$ diagonal matrices $A = diag(a_1, ..., a_n)$ with entries $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Then $\mathcal{A}_r^n$ is isomorphic with the tensor product of algebras $\mathcal{A}_r^n = \mathcal{A}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^n$ over the real field, where $\mathbf{R}^n$ is considered as the algebra of all diagonal $n \times n$ matrices $C = diag(b_1, .., b_n)$ with entries $b_1, ..., b_n \in \mathbf{R}$. Put $P\mathcal{A}_r^n = \phi(\mathcal{A}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ to be the Cayley-Dickson projective space, where ϕ is extended from $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ so that $\phi(ax) = a\phi(x)$ and $\phi(xa) = \phi(x)a$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_r$ with $|a| = 1$ and every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, also $\phi(x_0 i_0 + ... + x_{2^r-1} i_{2^r-1}) = \phi(x_0) i_0 \alpha_0 + ... + \phi(x_{2^r-1}) i_{2^r-1} \alpha_{2^r-1}$ for each non-zero vector $x = x_0 i_0 + ... + x_{2^r-1} i_{2^r-1} \in \mathcal{A}_r^{n+1}$, where $\alpha_j := \|x_j\|/\|x\|$, $x_j \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ for each j, $\|x\|^2 = \|x_0\|^2 + ... + \|x_{2^r-1}\|^2$.

If $z \in P\mathcal{A}_r^n$, then by our definition $\phi^{-1}(z)$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ straight line in $\mathcal{A}_r^{n+1}$. To each element $x \in \mathcal{A}_r^{n+1}$ we pose an $\mathcal{A}_r$ straight line $< \mathcal{A}_r, x\} := \phi^{-1}(\phi(x))$. That is the bundle of all $\mathcal{A}_r$ straight lines $< \mathcal{A}_r, x\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_r^N$ is considered, where $x \in \mathcal{A}_r^N$, $x \ne 0$, so that $< \mathcal{A}_r, x\}$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space of dimension 1 over $\mathcal{A}_r$, which has the real shadow isomorphic with $\mathbf{R}^{2^r}$.

Fix the standard orthonormal base $\{e_1, ..., e_N\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_r^N$ and projections on $\mathcal{A}_r$-vector subspaces relative to this base

$$P^L(x) := \sum_{e_j \in L} x_j e_j$$

for the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector span $L = span_{\mathcal{A}_r}\{e_i : i \in \Lambda_L\}$, $\Lambda_L \subset \{1, ..., N\}$, where

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j e_j,$$

$x_j \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for each j, $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ with 1 at j-th place. In this base consider the $\mathcal{A}_r$-Hermitian scalar product

$$< x, y >:= \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^* y_j.$$

Let $l \in \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$, take an $\mathcal{A}_r$-hyperplane denoted by $(\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_l$ and given by the condition: $< x, y >= 0$ for each $x \in (\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_l$ and $y \in l$. Take a vector $0 \ne [l] \in \mathcal{A}_r^N$ as a representative characterizes the equivalence class $l =< \mathcal{A}_r, [l]\}$ of unit norm $\|[l]\| = 1$. Then the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_{N-1}\}$ in $(\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_l$ and the vector $[l] =: q_N$ compose the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_N\}$ in $\mathcal{A}_r^N$. This provides the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic projection $\pi_l : \mathcal{A}_r^N \to (\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_l$ relative to the orthonormal base $\{q_1, ..., q_N\}$. Indeed, the operator π_l is $\mathcal{A}_r$ left $\pi_l(bx_0) = b\pi_l(x_0)$ and also right $\pi_l(x_0 b) = \pi_l(x_0)b$ linear for each $x_0 \in X_0$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}_r$, but certainly non-linear relative to $\mathcal{A}_r$. Therefore the mapping π_l is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic.

To construct an immersion it is sufficient, that each projection $\pi_l : T_x M \to (\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_l$ has $ker[d(\pi_l(x))] = \{0\}$ for each $x \in M$. The set of all points $x \in M$ for which $ker[d(\pi_l(x))] \ne \{0\}$ is called the set of forbidden directions of the first kind. Forbidden are those and only those directions $l \in \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$ for which there exists a point $x \in M$ such that $l' \subset T_x M$, where $l' = [l] + z$, $z \in \mathcal{A}_r^N$. The set of all forbidden directions of the first kind forms the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold Q of $\mathcal{A}_r$ dimension $(2m-1)$ with points (x, l), where $x \in M,\ l \in \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1},\ [l] \in T_x M$. Take the mapping $g : Q \to \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$ given by $g(x, l) := l$. Then this mapping g is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic.

Each paracompact manifold A modeled on $\mathcal{A}_r^p$ can be supplied with the Riemann manifold structure also. Therefore, on a manifold A there exists a Riemann volume element. In view of the Morse theorem $\mu(g(Q)) = 0$, if $N - 1 > 2m - 1$, that is, $2m < N$, where μ is the Riemann volume element in $\mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$. In particular, $g(Q)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$ and there exists $l_0 \notin g(Q)$, consequently, there exists $\pi_{l_0} : M \to (\mathcal{A}_r^{N-1})_{l_0}$. This procedure can be prolonged, when $2m < N - k$, where k is the number of the step of projection. Hence M can be immersed into $\mathcal{A}_r^{2m}$.

Consider now the forbidden directions of the second type: $l \in \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$, for which there exist $x \ne y \in M$ simultaneously belonging to l after suitable parallel translation $[l] \mapsto [l] + z$, $z \in \mathcal{A}_r^N$. The set of the forbidden directions of the second type forms the manifold $\Phi := M^2 \setminus \Delta$, where $\Delta := \{(x, x) : x \in M\}$. Consider $\psi : \Phi \to \mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$, where $\psi(x, y)$ is the straight $\mathcal{A}_r$-line with the direction vector $[x, y]$ in the orthonormal base. Then $\mu(\psi(\Phi)) =$

0 in $\mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$, if $2m+1 < N$. Then the closure $cl(\psi(\Phi))$ coincides with $\psi(\Phi) \cup g(Q)$ in $\mathcal{A}_r P^{N-1}$. Hence there exists $l_0 \notin cl(\psi(\Phi))$. Then consider $\pi_{l_0} : M \to (\mathcal{A}_r)^{N-1}_{l_0}$. This procedure can be prolonged, when $2m+1 < N-k$, where k is the number of the step of projection. Hence M can be embedded into $\mathcal{A}_r^{2m+1}$.

The approximation property follows from compactness of M and the non-commutative analog of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem (see also Theorem 2.7 in [15, 16]).

Let now M be a paracompact $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic manifold with countable atlas on $l_2(\lambda, \mathbf{K})$. Spaces $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r) \oplus \mathcal{A}_r^m$ and $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r) \oplus l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$ are isomorphic as $\mathcal{A}_r$ Hilbert spaces with $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$, since $card(\lambda) \ge \aleph_0$. Take an additional variable $z \in \mathcal{A}_r$, when $z = j \in \mathbf{N}$. Then it gives a number of a chart. Each TU_j is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphically diffeomorphic with $U_j \times l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$. Consider $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic functions ψ on domains in $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r) \oplus l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r) \oplus \mathcal{A}_r$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic mapping $\psi_j : M \to l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$ such that $\psi_j : U_j \to \psi_j(U_j) \subset l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$ is an $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic diffeomorphism. Then the mapping $(\psi_1, \psi_2, ...)$ provides the $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic embedding of M into $l_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}_r)$.

References

[1] J.C. Baez. "The octonions". Bull. Amer. Mathem. Soc. **39: 2** (2002), 145-205.

[2] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, F. Sommen. "Clifford analysis" (London: Pitman, 1982).

[3] L.E. Dickson. "The collected mathematical papers". Volumes 1-5 (New York: Chelsea Publishing Co., 1975).

[4] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Berlin: Heldermann, 1989).

[5] J.E. Gilbert, M.A.M. Murray. "Clifford algebras and Dirac operators in harmonic analysis". Cambr. studies in advanced Mathem. **26** (Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press, 1991).

[6] P.R. Girard. ”Quaternions, Clifford algebras and relativistic Physics” (Basel: Birkhäuser, 2007).

[7] K. Gürlebeck, W. Sprössig. ”Quaternionic and Clifford calculus for physicists and engineers” (Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1997).

[8] F. Gürsey, C.-H. Tze. ”On the role of division, Jordan and related algebras in particle physics” (Singapore: World Scientific Publ. Co., 1996).

[9] F.R. Harvey. ”Spinors and calibrations”. Seeries ”Perspectives in Mathem.” **9** (Boston: Academic Press, 1990).

[10] G.M. Henkin, J. Leiterer. ”Theory of functions on complex manifolds”. Series ”Monographs in Mathematics”; **79** (Basel: Birkhäuser, 1984).

[11] I.L. Kantor, A.S. Solodovnikov. ”Hypercomplex numbers” (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989).

[12] W. Klingenberg. ”Riemannian geometry” (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1982).

[13] K. Kodaira. ”Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures” (New York: Springer-Verlag, 1986).

[14] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson. ”Spin geometry” (Princeton: Princ. Univ. Press, 1989).

[15] S.V. Ludkovsky, F. van Oystaeyen. ”Differentiable functions of quaternion variables”. Bull. Sci. Math. (Paris). Ser. 2. **127** (2003), 755-796.

[16] S.V. Ludkovsky. ”Differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers and line integration”. J. of Mathem. Sciences **141: 3** (2007), 1231-1298.

[17] S.V. Ludkovsky. ”Functions of several Cayley-Dickson variables and manifolds over them”. J. of Mathem. Sciences **141: 3** (2007), 1299-1330.

[18] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Algebras of operators in Banach spaces over the quaternion skew field and the octonion algebra". J. Mathem. Sciences **144: 4** (2008), 4301-4366.

[19] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Residues of functions of octonion variables". Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS), **39: 1**, 65-104 (2010).

[20] P.W. Michor. Manifolds of differentiable mappings (Boston: Shiva, 1980).

[21] F. van Oystaeyen. "Algebraic geometry for associative algebras". Series "Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Mathem." **232** (New York: Marcel Dekker, 2000).